# COMBINATORIAL BURNSIDE GROUPS 

YURI TSCHINKEL, KAIQI YANG, AND ZHIJIA ZHANG


#### Abstract

We study the structure of combinatorial Burnside groups, which receive equivariant birational invariants of actions of finite groups on algebraic varieties.


## 1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a finite group, acting regularly and generically freely on a smooth projective variety over an algebraically closed field $k$, of characteristic zero. The study of such actions, up to $G$-equivariant birationality, is a classical and active area in higher-dimensional algebraic geometry (see, e.g., [16], [4], [14]). A new type of birational invariants of $G$-actions was introduced in [8]. These take values in the Burnside group

$$
\operatorname{Burn}_{n}(G),
$$

defined by explicit generators and relations. The invariant is computed on an appropriate birational model $X$ (standard form), where

- all stabilizers are abelian,
- a translate of an irreducible component of a locus with nontrivial stabilizer is either equal to it or is disjoint from it.
The invariant takes into account information about
- subvarieties $F \subset X$ with nontrivial (abelian) stabilizers $H$,
- the induced action of a subgroup of the centralizer $Z_{G}(H)$ of $H$ on $F$, and
- the representation of $H$ in the normal bundle to $F$.

Formally, the class

$$
[X \bigcirc G] \in \operatorname{Burn}_{n}(G)
$$

of a regular $G$-action on a smooth projective variety $X$ in standard form is written as

$$
[X \frown G]:=\sum_{H \subseteq G} \sum_{F}(H, Y \subset K(F), \beta),
$$
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where $H$ runs over (conjugacy classes of) abelian subgroups of $G, F$ is a stratum whose components have generic stabilizers (conjugated to) $H, Y$ records the action on $F$, and $\beta$ is the collection of weights of $H$ in the normal bundle of the stratum (see [8, Definition 4.4] or [5, Section 7]). The symbols

$$
(H, Y \subset K(F), \beta)
$$

are generators of $\operatorname{Burn}_{n}(G)$, and the defining relations insure that

$$
[X \frown G]-[\tilde{X} \frown G]=0 \in \operatorname{Burn}_{n}(G)
$$

for every equivariant blowup $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$. Basic geometric operations such as restriction to subgroups $G^{\prime} \subseteq G$, products of varieties, fibrations, etc. have natural realizations on the level of Burnside groups, see [12].

A purely combinatorial version of these constructions was introduced in [12]. It keeps track of the group-theoretic information extracted as above, while forgetting the field-theoretic information, i.e., the birational type of the action on irreducible components of loci with nontrivial stabilizers.

Formally, combinatorial birational invariants of $G$-actions on algebraic varieties of dimension $n$ take values in the combinatorial Burnside group

$$
\mathcal{B C} \mathcal{C}_{n}(G),
$$

defined via generators and relations in Section 4. The class

$$
[X \bigcirc G]:=\sum_{H} \sum_{F}(H, Y, \beta) \in \mathcal{B C}_{n}(G)
$$

of a $G$-action is computed as above. Here, the symbol $(H, Y, \beta)$ is a generator of $\mathcal{B C}_{n}(G)$ and the defining relations reflect the invariance of the class under equivariant blowups.

When $G$ is abelian, there is a surjective homomorphism

$$
\mathcal{B C}_{n}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{n}(G)
$$

a group introduced in [7] (and in Section 3 below), which in turn has remarkable arithmetic properties [7], [9]. For example,

$$
\mathcal{B}_{n}(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}=\mathrm{H}_{0}\left(\Gamma(n, G), \mathcal{F}_{n}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q},
$$

where $\Gamma(n, G) \subset \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a certain congruence subgroup and $\mathcal{F}_{n}$ is the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space generated by characteristic functions of convex rational polyhedral cones in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, modulo functions of support less than $n$ [7, Section 9]. In particular, the groups $\mathcal{B}_{n}(G)$ carry Hecke operators. For $n=2$, there is a relation between $\mathcal{B}_{2}(G)$ and Manin symbols.

In this note, we investigate arithmetic properties of the a priori richer groups $\mathcal{B C}_{n}(G)$ and of the ring

$$
\mathcal{B C}_{*}(G)=\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{B C}_{n}(G)
$$

Our main result, Theorem 5.2, is the construction of an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B C}_{n}(G) \simeq \bigoplus_{[H, Y]} \mathcal{B}_{n}([H, Y]) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is over $G$-conjugacy classes $[H, Y]$ of pairs $(H, Y)$, with $H \subseteq G$ an abelian subgroup and $H \subseteq Y \subseteq Z_{G}(H)$, and

$$
\mathcal{B}_{n}([H, Y]) \simeq \mathcal{B}_{n}(H) /\left(\mathbf{C}_{(H, Y)}\right)
$$

is the quotient by a conjugation relation which depends on the representative $(H, Y)$ of the conjugacy class of the pair (see Section 4). For $G$ abelian, we have

$$
\mathcal{B}_{n}([H, Y])=\mathcal{B}_{n}(H), \text { and } \mathcal{B C}_{n}(G)=\bigoplus_{H^{\prime} \subseteq G} \bigoplus_{H^{\prime \prime} \subseteq H^{\prime}} \mathcal{B}_{n}\left(H^{\prime \prime}\right) ;
$$

in particular, the groups $\mathcal{B C}_{n}(G)$ also carry Hecke operators, as defined in [7, Section 6] and [9, Section 3].

Clearly, the passage to the combinatorial $\mathcal{B C}_{n}(G)$ leads to a loss of information. On the other hand, these groups are easier to compute. In particular, the combinatorial decomposition (1.1) is not available for the geometric $\operatorname{Burn}_{n}(G)$.
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## 2. Moebius inversion

Let $G$ be a finite group and $\mathcal{H}$ the poset of nontrivial abelian subgroups of $G$ under the inclusion relation. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}$-module, freely generated by $\mathcal{H}$; we will view $\mathcal{H}$ as a subset of $\mathcal{S}$. For $H \in \mathcal{H}$ we let $(H)$ be its image in $\mathcal{S}$ :

$$
\mathcal{S}=\bigoplus_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \mathbb{Z}(H)
$$

Let $\Psi$ be the $\mathcal{S}$-valued function on $\mathcal{S}$, defined on generators by

$$
\Psi((H))=\sum_{1 \subseteq H^{\prime} \subseteq H}\left(H^{\prime}\right), \quad \forall H \in \mathcal{H}
$$

and extended to all of $\mathcal{S}$ by $\mathbb{Z}$-linearity. Then there exists a unique $\mathbb{Z}$-valued function, the Moebius function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\mu_{\mathcal{H}}: \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\Phi((H)):=\sum_{1 \subseteq H^{\prime} \subseteq H} \mu\left(H^{\prime}, H\right)\left(H^{\prime}\right), \quad \forall H \in \mathcal{H},
$$

is the inverse of $\Psi$, i.e.,

$$
\Psi \circ \Phi=\Phi \circ \Psi=\mathrm{Id} .
$$

The Moebius function $\mu$ is constructed recursively by rules

- $\mu(H, H)=1$, for all $H \in \mathcal{H}$,
- $\mu\left(H^{\prime}, H\right)=0$, for all $H^{\prime}, H \in \mathcal{H}$ with $H^{\prime} \nsubseteq H$,

$$
\mu\left(H^{\prime \prime}, H\right)=-\sum_{H^{\prime \prime} \subseteq H^{\prime} \subseteq H} \mu\left(H^{\prime \prime}, H^{\prime}\right),
$$

for all $H^{\prime \prime}, H \in \mathcal{H}$ with $H^{\prime \prime} \subsetneq H$.
When $G$ is abelian the poset $\mathcal{H}$ contains all subgroups of $G$ and is a lattice, with join and meet operations defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H^{\prime} \wedge H:=H^{\prime} \cap H \\
& H^{\prime} \vee H:=\text { subgroup generated by } H^{\prime} \text { and } H .
\end{aligned}
$$

In Section 5, we will use the following result concerning the Moebius function on lattices (see, e.g., [17], or [15, Sect 5]):

Lemma 2.1. Let $G$ be a finite abelian group and $H^{\prime \prime}, H^{\prime} \subseteq G$ subgroups satisfying

$$
H^{\prime \prime} \subseteq H^{\prime} \subsetneq G .
$$

Let $\mu$ be the Moebius function of the subgroup lattice of $G$. Then

$$
\sum_{H \subseteq G, H \cap H^{\prime}=H^{\prime \prime}} \mu(H, G)=0 .
$$

## 3. Symbols groups

Let $G$ be a finite abelian group,

$$
G^{\vee}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(G, \mathbb{C}^{\times}\right)
$$

its character group, $n$ a positive integer and

$$
\mathcal{S}_{n}(G)
$$

the $\mathbb{Z}$-module generated by $n$-tuples of characters of $G$,

$$
\beta=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right), \quad b_{j} \in G^{\vee}, \text { for all } j,
$$

generating $G^{\vee}$, modulo the relation
(O) reordering: for all $\beta=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ and all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ we have

$$
\beta=\beta^{\sigma}:=\left(b_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, b_{\sigma(n)}\right)
$$

Consider the quotient

$$
\mathcal{S}_{n}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{n}(G)
$$

by the blowup relation
(B): for $\beta=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$, one has

$$
\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)=\left(0, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right), \quad \text { if } \quad b_{1}=b_{2}
$$

and otherwise

$$
\beta=\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{1}:=\left(b_{1}-b_{2}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right), \quad \beta_{2}:=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}-b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right), \quad n \geq 2 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $H \subseteq G$ and $\beta=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\beta\right|_{H}:=\left(\left.b_{1}\right|_{H}, \ldots,\left.b_{n}\right|_{H}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The groups $\mathcal{B}_{n}(G)$ were introduced in [7]; they capture equivariant birational invariants of $G$-actions on $n$-dimensional algebraic varieties. Combining constructions in [7] and [9], we know that the groups

$$
\mathcal{B}_{n}(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}:=\mathcal{B}_{n}(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}
$$

have an interesting internal structure, e.g., they carry:

- Hecke operators,
- multiplication and co-multiplication arising from exact sequences

$$
0 \rightarrow G^{\prime} \rightarrow G \rightarrow G^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

e.g., multiplication

$$
\nabla: \mathcal{B}_{n^{\prime}}\left(G^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n^{\prime \prime}}\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{n^{\prime}+n^{\prime \prime}}(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}
$$

## 4. Combinatorial Burnside groups

Definitions. Let $G$ be a finite group and $n$ a positive integer. The combinatorial symbols group is the $\mathbb{Z}$-module

$$
\mathcal{S C}_{n}(G)
$$

generated by triples

$$
(H, Y, \beta),
$$

where

- $H \subseteq G$ is an abelian group,
- $Y \subseteq G$ is a subgroup satisfying $H \subseteq Y \subseteq Z_{G}(H)$, and
- $\beta$ is a sequence of nontrivial characters of $H$ generating $H^{\vee}$, of length $r=r(\beta) \leq n$, (by convention, when $H=1$, the sequence is the empty sequence, $\beta=())$,
subject to relation
(O) reordering: for all $(H, Y, \beta)$, with $\beta=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{r}\right)$, and $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{r}$ we have

$$
(H, Y, \beta)=\left(H, Y, \beta^{\sigma}\right), \quad \beta^{\sigma}:=\left(b_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, b_{\sigma(r)}\right),
$$

(C) conjugation: for all $H, Y$, and $\beta$, we have

$$
(H, Y, \beta)=\left(g H g^{-1}, g Y g^{-1}, \beta^{g}\right),
$$

where $\beta^{g}$ is the image of $\beta$ under the conjugation by $g \in G$.

The combinatorial Burnside group is a quotient of the combinatorial symbols group,

$$
\mathcal{S C}_{n}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B C}_{n}(G),
$$

obtained by imposing additional relations [12, Definition 8.1]:
$(\mathrm{V})$ vanishing:

$$
(H, Y, \beta)=0
$$

if $b_{1}+b_{2}=0$, for some characters $b_{1}, b_{2}$ in $\beta$,
(B2) blowup relation:
for $b_{1}=b_{2}$, put:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H, Y,\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{r}\right)\right)=\left(H, Y,\left(b_{2}, \ldots, b_{r}\right)\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { for } b_{1} \neq b_{2}, \text { put: }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H, Y, \beta)= \\
& \begin{cases}\left(H, Y, \beta_{1}\right)+\left(H, Y, \beta_{2}\right) & \text { if } b_{i} \in\left\langle b_{1}-b_{2}\right\rangle, \text { for some } i \\
\underbrace{\left(H, Y, \beta_{1}\right)+\left(H, Y, \beta_{2}\right)}_{\Theta_{1}}+\underbrace{(\bar{H}, Y, \bar{\beta})}_{\Theta_{2}} & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we put

$$
\begin{gather*}
\beta_{1}:=\left(b_{1}-b_{2}, b_{2}, b_{3}, \ldots, b_{r}\right), \quad \beta_{2}:=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}-b_{1}, b_{3}, \ldots, b_{r}\right),  \tag{4.2}\\
\bar{H}:=\operatorname{ker}\left(\left\langle b_{1}-b_{2}\right\rangle\right) \subseteq H, \quad \bar{\beta}:=\left.\beta\right|_{\bar{H}} .
\end{gather*}
$$

The notation $\Theta_{1}, \Theta_{2}$ was used in [8, Section 4] and [12, Section 2].
Geometrically, relation (B2) can be interpreted as follows: consider the exceptional divisor $E=\mathbb{P}^{1}$ of the blowup $\tilde{X}$ of a point $x$ on a smooth surface $X$. Assume that $G$ acts regularly on $X$, that the action is in standard form, and that the stabilizer of $x$ is an abelian group $H \subseteq G$. Then the two terms in $\Theta_{1}$ are the contributions, to the class of the $G$-action on $\tilde{X}$, of $H$-fixed points on $E$ and $\Theta_{2}$ is the contribution of the generic point of $E$. Relation (B2) states that the contribution from $x$ to the class of the $G$-action on $X$ equals to contribution from $E$ to the class of the $G$-action on $\tilde{X}$. Amazingly, all such relations arising from $G$-equivariant blowups, in all dimensions, reduce to (B2).

Note that $\mathcal{B C}_{n}(G)$ contains a distinguished subgroup

$$
\mathcal{B C}_{n}(G)^{\text {triv }},
$$

freely generated by symbols $(1, Y,())$, up to conjugation. Taking the quotient by these tautological symbols we obtain the main group of interest

$$
\mathcal{B C}_{n}(G)^{\text {nontriv }}:=\mathcal{B C}_{n}(G) / \mathcal{B C}_{n}(G)^{\text {triv }}
$$

Relation (4.1) allows to shorten the length of $\beta$ in the presence of repeated characters; we call a (nontrivial) symbol reduced if the characters in $\beta$ are pairwise distinct.

Filtration. The blowup relation (B2) does not increase $r(\beta)$, the number of characters in $\beta$. This allows to introduce

$$
\mathcal{B C}_{n, r}(G) \subset \mathcal{B C}_{n}(G), \quad n \geq 1
$$

as the $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule generated by reduced symbols where $\beta$ satisfies $1 \leq r(\beta) \leq r$. We have surjective homomorphisms

$$
\mathcal{B C}_{r}(G)^{\text {nontriv }} \rightarrow \mathcal{B C}_{n, r}(G), \quad 1 \leq r \leq n
$$

which need not be isomorphisms, for $r<n$.

Vanishing. Relation (V) implies (see [8, Proposition 4.7]) that, for $H \neq 1$,

$$
(H, Y, \beta)=0 \in \mathcal{B C}_{n}(G),
$$

provided there exist a nonempty $I \subseteq[1, \ldots, r]$ and characters $b_{i}, i \in I$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i \in I} b_{i}=0 \in H^{\vee} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 4.1. For a fixed $G$, we have

$$
\mathcal{B C}_{n}(G)^{\text {nontriv }}=0, \quad n \gg 0
$$

Proof. Recall that we are only considering symbols with $H \neq 1$. Let $\ell=\ell(G)$ be the maximal order of an element of $G$. We have
$0=(H, Y,(\underbrace{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{1}}_{\ell \text { times }}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n-\ell}))=\left(H, Y,\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n-\ell}\right)\right) \in \mathcal{B C}_{n}(G)$,
for any choices of $b_{i}$, which implies that

$$
\mathcal{B C} \mathcal{C}_{n, r}(G)=0, \quad 1 \leq r \leq n-\ell
$$

It suffices to note that for $n \gg 0$, reduced symbols have $r(\beta) \leq n-\ell$.
We define the combinatorial dimension:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{cd}(G):=\min \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \mathcal{B C}_{m}(G)^{\text {nontriv }}=0 \quad \forall m>n\right\} . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may also consider versions of this for

$$
\mathcal{B C}_{m}(G)^{\text {nontriv }} \otimes \mathbb{Q}, \quad \text { respectively }, \quad \mathcal{B C}_{m}(G)^{\text {nontriv }} \otimes \mathbb{F}_{p}
$$

and denote the corresponding smallest $n$ as in (4.4) by

$$
\operatorname{cd}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G), \quad \text { respectively, } \quad \operatorname{cd}_{p}(G)
$$

Computer experiments and Theorem 5.2 suggest the following:
Conjecture 4.2. Let $G$ be a finite group, and $H \subseteq G$ a maximal abelian subgroup. Then

$$
\operatorname{cd}(G) \leq \log _{2}(|H|), \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{cd}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G) \leq \log _{3}(|H|)+1
$$

In particular, for $G=\mathfrak{S}_{m}$, based on the determination of maximal abelian subgroups of $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ in [3], we have

$$
\operatorname{cd}(G) \leq \frac{m}{3} \log _{2}(3), \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{cd}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G) \leq \frac{m}{3}+1
$$

Restriction. Section 7 in [12] introduced the restriction homomorphism; geometrically, this reflects the restriction of the action to a subgroup. In our context, for $G^{\prime} \subseteq G$, it takes the form:

$$
\operatorname{res}_{G^{\prime}}^{G}: \mathcal{B C}_{n}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \mathcal{C}_{n}\left(G^{\prime}\right)
$$

The group $G$ acts by conjugation on the set of generating symbols as in (C). For any symbol

$$
\mathfrak{s}=(H, Y, \beta)
$$

the conjugation action by $G^{\prime}$ partitions the conjugacy class of $\mathfrak{s}$ into finitely many orbits. The restriction map is given by

$$
\mathfrak{s} \mapsto \sum_{\mathfrak{s}^{\prime}}\left(H^{\prime} \cap G^{\prime}, Y^{\prime} \cap G^{\prime},\left.\beta^{\prime}\right|_{H^{\prime} \cap G^{\prime}}\right)
$$

where the sum is over orbit representatives $\mathfrak{s}^{\prime}=\left(H^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)$. The map respects relations, by construction. It is not surjective, in general; e.g.,

$$
\mathcal{B C}_{2}\left(\mathfrak{S}_{3}\right)^{\text {nontriv }}=\mathbb{Z} / 2, \quad \mathcal{B C} \mathcal{C}_{2}\left(\mathfrak{C}_{3}\right)^{\text {nontriv }}=\mathbb{Z}
$$

Ring structure. There is a product map

$$
\mathcal{B C}_{n}(G) \times \mathcal{B C}_{n^{\prime}}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B C}_{n+n^{\prime}}(G),
$$

given as the composition of

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B C}_{n}(G) \times \mathcal{B C}_{n^{\prime}}(G) & \rightarrow \mathcal{B C}_{n+n^{\prime}}(G \times G) \\
(H, Y, \beta) \times\left(H^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right) & \mapsto\left(H \times H^{\prime}, Y \times Y^{\prime}, \beta \cup \beta^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with restriction to the diagonal. Geometrically, this comes from a direct product of varieties, with diagonal action of $G$.

We obtain a graded ring

$$
\mathcal{B C}_{*}(G):=\oplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{B C}_{n}(G),
$$

subject to various functoriality properties.

## 5. Structure theory

In this section, we establish an isomorphism of $\mathcal{B C}_{n}(G)$ with a simpler quotient of the combinatorial symbols group

$$
\mathcal{S C}_{n}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B C}_{n}^{\prime}(G)
$$

defined via relation $(\mathbf{V})$, together with the following modification of the blowup relation:
$\left(\mathbf{B 2}^{\prime}\right)$ for $b_{1}=b_{2}$, put:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H, Y,\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{r}\right)\right)=\left(H, Y,\left(b_{2}, \ldots, b_{r}\right)\right) ; \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $b_{1} \neq b_{2}$, put:

$$
(H, Y, \beta)=\left(H, Y, \beta_{1}\right)+\left(H, Y, \beta_{2}\right),
$$

where $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ are as in (4.2).

Comparing ( $\mathbf{B 2}^{\prime}$ ) to relation (B2), note that here we only assume that $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{r}$ are nonvanishing and that they generate $H^{\vee}$, we do not require that $b_{i} \in\left\langle b_{1}-b_{2}\right\rangle$, for some $i$.

For clarity, we will write

$$
(H, Y, \beta)^{\prime}
$$

when we view the corresponding symbol as an element in $\mathcal{B C}_{n}^{\prime}(G)$.
The relations respect the $G$-conjugacy class $[H, Y]$ of the pair $(H, Y)$; so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B C}_{n}^{\prime}(G)=\bigoplus_{[H, Y]} \mathcal{B}_{n}([H, Y]) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{B}_{n}([H, Y]):=\bigoplus_{H^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}} \mathbb{Z}\left(H^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right) /(\mathbf{V}),\left(\mathbf{B 2}^{\prime}\right), \quad\left(H^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right) \in[H, Y]
$$

Consider the following conjugation relation on $\mathcal{S}_{n}(H)$ :
$\left(\mathbf{C}_{(H, Y)}\right)$ : for all $\beta \in \mathcal{S}_{n}(H)$ and $g \in N_{G}(H) \cap N_{G}(Y)$ we have

$$
\beta=\beta^{g}
$$

Lemma 5.1. We have have an isomorphism of abelian groups

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{n}([H, Y]) \simeq \mathcal{B}_{n}(H) /\left(\mathbf{C}_{(H, Y)}\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Fix a representative $(H, Y)$ of the conjugacy class and consider

$$
\left(H^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right), \quad\left(H^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right) \in[H, Y], \quad \beta^{\prime}=\left(b_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, b_{r}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Let $g \in G$ be such that

$$
H=g H^{\prime} g^{-1} \quad \text { and } \quad Y=g Y^{\prime} g^{-1}
$$

and put

$$
\left(b_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{g}:=\text { image of } b_{i}^{\prime} \text { under the conjugation by } g, \quad i=1, \ldots, r
$$

Consider the homomorphism given on symbols in $\mathcal{B}_{n}([H, Y])$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right) \mapsto(\left(b_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{g}, \ldots,\left(b_{r}^{\prime}\right)^{g}, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n-r}) \in \mathcal{S}_{n}(H) . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is independent of the choice of $g$ : given $g, g^{\prime} \in G$, such that

$$
H^{\prime}=g^{-1} H g=g^{\prime-1} H g^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad Y^{\prime}=g^{-1} Y g=g^{\prime-1} Y g^{\prime}
$$

we have

$$
g^{\prime} g^{-1} \in N_{G}(H) \cap N_{G}(Y)
$$

Therefore, by definition of $\left(\mathbf{C}_{(H, Y)}\right)$, we have

$$
(\left(b_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{g}, \ldots,\left(b_{r}^{\prime}\right)^{g}, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n-r})=(\left(b_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{g^{\prime}}, \ldots,\left(b_{r}^{\prime}\right)^{g^{\prime}}, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n-r}),
$$

since

$$
\left(\left(b_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{g}\right)^{\left(g^{\prime} g^{-1}\right)}=\left(b_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{g^{\prime}}, \quad i=1, \ldots, r .
$$

The mapping (5.4) respects ( $\mathbf{C}$ ), by construction. Indeed, for any $g \in G$, the symbols

$$
\left(H^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(g H^{\prime} g^{-1}, g Y^{\prime} g^{-1}, \beta^{\prime g}\right)
$$

will be mapped to the same element in $\mathcal{B}_{n}(H) /\left(\mathbf{C}_{(H, Y)}\right)$ since

$$
H=g^{\prime} H^{\prime} g^{\prime-1} \quad \text { implies } \quad H=g^{\prime} g^{-1} \cdot\left(g H^{\prime} g^{-1}\right) \cdot g g^{\prime-1}
$$

To see its compatibility with $(\mathbf{V})$ and ( $\mathbf{B 2}^{\prime}$ ), it suffices to observe that the conjugation action is linear, i.e.,

$$
\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right)^{g}=b_{1}^{g}+b_{2}^{g}, \quad \text { for all } b_{1}, b_{2} \in H^{\vee}, g \in G,
$$

and we have the following identities in $\mathcal{B}_{n}(H)$, by definition:

- $\left(b_{1}, b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots\right)=\left(0, b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots\right)$,
- $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots\right)=\left(b_{1}-b_{2}, b_{2}, \ldots\right)+\left(b_{1}, b_{2}-b_{1}, \ldots\right)$,
- $\left(b_{1},-b_{1}, \ldots\right)=0$.

On the other hand, by conjugation relations, the map defined by

$$
\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \mapsto(H, Y, \beta),
$$

where $\beta$ is obtained by removing all 0 's in the sequence of $b_{i}$, is the inverse of the map (5.4). It is clearly compatible with (B) and $\left(\mathbf{C}_{(H, Y)}\right)$. Therefore (5.4) induces the desired isomorphism (5.3).

We will now construct an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules

$$
\mathcal{B C}_{n}(G) \simeq \mathcal{B C}_{n}^{\prime}(G)
$$

The decomposition (5.2) above allows us to efficiently compute $\mathcal{B C}_{n}(G)$, and to import further structures into $\mathcal{B C}_{n}(G)$.

We start by defining a poset relation on the set of symbols:

$$
\mathfrak{s}^{\prime}:=\left(H^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right) \leq(H, Y, \beta)=: \mathfrak{s}
$$

if and only if

- $Y=Y^{\prime}$,
- $H^{\prime} \subseteq H$, and
- $\beta^{\prime}=\left.\beta\right|_{H^{\prime}}$.

We observe that the intervals in this poset relation are isomorphic, as posets, to intervals in the poset $\mathcal{H}$ of abelian subgroups of $G$. Locally, these intervals are isomorphic to intervals in posets of subgroups of finite abelian groups; the corresponding Moebius function is the one in (2.1).

Consider the following homomorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules

$$
\Psi, \Phi: \mathcal{S C}_{n}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{S C}_{n}(G)
$$

defined on symbols by

$$
\Psi:(H, Y, \beta) \mapsto \begin{cases}\sum_{1 \subsetneq H^{\prime} \subseteq H}\left(H^{\prime}, Y, \beta^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} & \text { when } H \neq 1 \\ (1, Y,())^{\prime} & \text { when } H=1\end{cases}
$$

respectively,

$$
\Phi:(H, Y, \beta)^{\prime} \mapsto \begin{cases}\sum_{1 \subsetneq H^{\prime} \subseteq H} \mu\left(H^{\prime}, H\right)\left(H^{\prime}, Y, \beta^{\prime}\right) & \text { when } H \neq 1 \\ (1, Y,()) & \text { when } H=1\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\beta^{\prime}=\left.\beta\right|_{H^{\prime}},
$$

and extended by linearity. By convention, if $\beta^{\prime}$ contains a zero, the symbol is considered to be zero.

These are isomorphisms (see Section 2), we have

$$
\Psi \circ \Phi=\Phi \circ \Psi=\mathrm{Id} .
$$

Theorem 5.2. For all $n \geq 1$ and all $G$, the homomorphism $\Psi$ descends to the respective quotients of the combinatorial symbols group, yielding a commutative diagram of abelian groups

with an isomorphism on the bottom row, whose inverse is given by $\Phi$.
Proof. It is clear that both $\Psi$ and $\Phi$ respect relation (V). It remains to show their compatibility with (B2), respectively, (B2').

First, we show $\Psi$ is compatible with ( $\left.\mathbf{B 2}^{\prime}\right)$, i.e. for any symbol

$$
\mathfrak{s}:=(H, Y, \beta), \quad \beta:=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{r}\right), \quad H \neq 1
$$

we have

$$
\Psi(\mathfrak{s}) \stackrel{?}{=} \Psi\left(\left(H, Y, \beta_{1}\right)\right)+\Psi\left(\left(H, Y, \beta_{2}\right)\right)+\Psi\left(\Theta_{2}(\mathfrak{s})\right) \in \mathcal{B C}_{n}^{\prime}(G),
$$

with $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ and $\Theta_{2}$ defined in (4.2). Assume $b_{1} \neq b_{2}$ and put

$$
\bar{H}=\operatorname{ker}\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right),
$$

then

$$
\Psi\left(\left(H, Y, \beta_{i}\right)\right)=\sum_{H^{\prime} \subseteq H, H^{\prime} \nsubseteq \bar{H}}\left(H^{\prime}, Y,\left.\beta_{i}\right|_{H^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}, \quad i=1,2,
$$

since when $H^{\prime} \subseteq \bar{H}$, the restriction of $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ to $H^{\prime}$ will have nontrivial space of invariants (i.e., a zero in the sequence of characters).

On the other hand, by definition, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(\mathfrak{s})=\sum_{H^{\prime} \subseteq H, H^{\prime} \not \subset \bar{H}}\left(H^{\prime}, Y,\left.\beta\right|_{H^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}+\sum_{1 \subseteq H^{\prime} \subseteq \bar{H}}\left(H^{\prime}, Y,\left.\beta\right|_{H^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime} . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that

$$
\left.b_{1}\right|_{H^{\prime}}=\left.b_{2}\right|_{H^{\prime}} \Leftrightarrow H^{\prime} \subseteq \bar{H} .
$$

Applying ( $\mathbf{B 2}^{\prime}$ ) to the right side of (5.5) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi(\mathfrak{s})= & \sum_{H^{\prime} \subseteq H, H^{\prime} \not \subset \bar{H}}\left(H^{\prime}, Y,\left.\beta_{1}\right|_{H^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}+\sum_{H^{\prime} \subseteq H, H^{\prime} \not \subset \bar{H}}\left(H^{\prime}, Y,\left.\beta_{2}\right|_{H^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime} \\
& +\sum_{1 \subseteq H^{\prime} \subseteq \bar{H}}\left(H^{\prime}, Y,\left(\left.b_{2}\right|_{H^{\prime}},\left.b_{3}\right|_{H^{\prime}}, \ldots,\left.b_{r}^{\prime}\right|_{H^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\prime} \\
= & \Psi\left(\left(H, Y, \beta_{1}\right)\right)+\Psi\left(\left(H, Y, \beta_{2}\right)\right)+\Psi\left(\Theta_{2}(\mathfrak{s})\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now show that $\Phi$ respects ( $\left.\mathbf{B 2}^{\prime}\right)$. By definition, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left((H, Y, \beta)^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{1 \subseteq H^{\prime} \subseteq H} \mu\left(H^{\prime}, H\right)\left(H^{\prime}, Y,\left.\beta\right|_{H^{\prime}}\right) \in \mathcal{B C}_{n}(G) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider all $\Theta_{2}$ terms in (B2) arising from symbols in the sum on the right side of (5.6):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{1 \subseteq H^{\prime} \subseteq H} \mu\left(H^{\prime}, H\right) \Theta_{2}\left(\left(H^{\prime}, Y,\left.\beta\right|_{H^{\prime}}\right)\right) \\
= & \sum_{1 \subsetneq H^{\prime \prime} \subseteq H}\left(\sum_{\bar{H} \cap H^{\prime}=H^{\prime \prime}} \mu\left(H^{\prime}, H\right)\right) \cdot\left(H^{\prime \prime}, Y,\left.\bar{\beta}\right|_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It suffices to observe that $\mu\left(H^{\prime}, H\right)$ equals the corresponding value of the Moebius function of the subgroup lattice of the abelian group $H$. Therefore, the compatibility of $\Phi$ with (B2) reduces to Lemma 2.1.

## 6. Examples and applications

It is an important classical problem in algebraic geometry to classify finite subgroups of the Cremona groups $\operatorname{Cr}_{n}(k)=\operatorname{Bir}_{k}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)$, up to conjugation. In particular, one would like to know whether or not $a$ priori different embeddings of a group $G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Cr}_{n}(k)$ are conjugate. One possible way to show this is to check that the associated actions of $G$ on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ give rise to different invariants in $\operatorname{Burn}_{n}(G)$. This was done in [5] is some low-dimensional examples. Another possible strategy is to consider invariants in $\mathcal{B C}_{n}(G)$ instead. These contain less information, but on the other hand, it is easier to show that certain classes are distinct in $\mathcal{B C}_{n}(G)$ than in $\operatorname{Burn}_{n}(G)$.

This section is devoted to precomputing $\mathcal{B C}_{n}(G)$, for $n=2$ and $n=3$ and interesting finite groups $G$ admitting actions on rational surfaces - the tabulated results allow to quickly decide in which cases one may expect nontrivial invariants. The results are obtained by computer, writing down explicitly generators and relations. Nonvanishing of a particular symbol in the quotient is seen via linear algebra.
6.1. Abelian groups. Classification of abelian subgroups of the plane Cremona group, i.e., of actions of abelian groups on rational surfaces, is well-understood (see [1], and the references therein). Much less is known in higher dimensions. First applications of the Burnside group formalism to the classification of such actions, in particular to actions of cyclic groups on cubic fourfolds, can be found in [5].

When $G$ is abelian, Theorem 5.2, combined with decomposition (5.2), shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B C}_{n}(G)=\bigoplus_{H^{\prime} \subseteq G} \bigoplus_{H^{\prime \prime} \subseteq H^{\prime}} \mathcal{B}_{n}\left(H^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For elementary abelian $p$-groups $G \simeq \mathbb{F}_{p}^{r}$

$$
\mathcal{B}_{n}(G)=0, \quad n<r,
$$

since a sequence of characters of length $<r$ cannot generate the character group. By (6.1), the computation of $\mathcal{B C}_{n}(G)$ reduces to the computation of $\mathcal{B}_{n}\left(H^{\prime \prime}\right)$, where $H^{\prime \prime}=\mathbb{F}_{p}^{m}$ with $m \leq n$. The number of $H^{\prime \prime} \subseteq G$ such that $H^{\prime \prime} \cong \mathbb{F}_{p}^{m}$ is $\# \operatorname{Gr}(m, r)\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$. Results in $[7$, Section 5], especially Theorem 14, yield finer structural information about $\mathcal{B}_{n}\left(H^{\prime \prime}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.
Problem 6.1. Determine the ring structure of $\mathcal{B C}_{*}(G)$, where $G=\mathbb{F}_{p}^{r}$.
The isomorphisms $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ induce a ring structure on

$$
\mathcal{B C}_{*}^{\prime}(G):=\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{B C}_{n}^{\prime}(G)
$$

with the product map defined on symbols by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(H, Y, \beta)^{\prime} \widetilde{\times}\left(H^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \mapsto \Psi\left(\Phi\left((H, Y, \beta)^{\prime}\right) \times \Phi\left(\left(H^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right)\right) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By construction, $\Psi$ and $\Phi$ are ring isomorphisms

$$
\mathcal{B C} \mathcal{C}_{*}(G) \simeq \mathcal{B C}_{*}^{\prime}(G)
$$

6.2. Central extensions of abelian groups. According to [2], over $k=\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$, the quotient spaces $V / G$ are universal for unramified cohomology: given a variety $X / k$ and an unramified class $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}_{n r}^{i}(k(X))$, with $i \geq 2$, (Galois cohomology with torsion coeffients, coprime to $p$ ), there exists a rational map $X \rightarrow V / G$, where $V$ is a faithful representation of a central extension $G$ of an abelian group, such that $\alpha$ is induced from $V / G$. There is a general algorithm to compute the class, in $\operatorname{Burn}_{n}(G)$, of $G$-actions on $n$-dimensional linear representations $V$ of $G$, based on De Concini-Procesi models of subspace arrangements [10]. This motivates the study of $\mathcal{B C}_{*}(G)$ for groups of such type.

As a first example, let $G=\mathfrak{D}_{p}$ be the dihedral group of order $2 p$, with $p \geq 5$ is a prime. Computer experiments suggest that

$$
\mathcal{B C}_{2}(G)^{\text {nontriv }}=\mathcal{B}_{2}\left(\left[\mathfrak{C}_{p}, \mathfrak{C}_{p}\right]\right)=\mathbb{Z}^{\frac{(p-5)(p-7)}{24}} \times(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{\frac{p-3}{2}} \times \mathbb{Z} / \frac{p^{2}-1}{12}
$$

The conjugation action on $\beta=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)$ for symbols in $\mathcal{B}_{2}\left(\left[\mathfrak{C}_{p}, \mathfrak{C}_{p}\right]\right)$ is equivalent to

$$
\left(\mathfrak{C}_{p}, \mathfrak{C}_{p},\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\right)=\left(\mathfrak{C}_{p}, \mathfrak{C}_{p},\left(-b_{1},-b_{2}\right)\right)
$$

This leads to a variant of the group $\mathcal{B}_{2}^{-}\left(\mathfrak{C}_{p}\right)$ introduced in [7]. In fact,

$$
\mathcal{B}_{2}^{-}\left(\mathfrak{C}_{p}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathcal{B}_{2}\left(\left[\mathfrak{C}_{p}, \mathfrak{C}_{p}\right]\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q},
$$

since according to [5, Proposition 3.2], we have

$$
\left(\mathfrak{C}_{p}, \mathfrak{C}_{p},(a, b)\right)+\left(\mathfrak{C}_{p}, \mathfrak{C}_{p},(-a, b)\right)=0 \in \mathcal{B}_{2}\left(\left[\mathfrak{C}_{p}, \mathfrak{C}_{p}\right]\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}
$$

The rank of the torsion-free part of $\mathcal{B}_{2}\left(\left[\mathfrak{C}_{p}, \mathfrak{C}_{p}\right]\right)$ is thus related to the modular curve $X_{1}(p)$ (see [7, Section 11]).

We may also consider central extensions

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p \rightarrow G \rightarrow(\mathbb{Z} / p)^{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

with $Z(G) \cong \mathbb{Z} / p$, and $p$ a prime. For example, we have

- for $p=2, G=\mathfrak{D}_{4}$, we have $\mathcal{B C}_{2}(G)^{\text {nontriv }}=(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{3}$.
- For $G$ the Heisenberg group over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, with $p$ an odd prime, we have

$$
\mathcal{B C}_{n}(G)^{\text {nontriv }}=\mathcal{B}_{n}([\mathbb{Z} / p, \mathbb{Z} / p])^{3 p+5} \oplus \mathcal{B}_{n}\left(\left[(\mathbb{Z} / p)^{2},(\mathbb{Z} / p)^{2}\right]\right)^{p+1}
$$

6.3. Symmetric groups. We compute the combinatorial Burnside groups for small symmetric groups $G=\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ :

| $n$ | $\mathcal{B C}_{2}(G)^{\text {nontriv }}$ | $\mathcal{B C}_{3}(G)^{\text {nontriv }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | 0 |
| 4 | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{3}$ | 0 |
| 5 | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{6} \times \mathbb{Z} / 4$ | 0 |
| 6 | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{31} \times(\mathbb{Z} / 4)^{3} \times \mathbb{Z} / 8$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{5} \times \mathbb{Z} / 4$ |
| 7 | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{57} \times(\mathbb{Z} / 4)^{12} \times(\mathbb{Z} / 8)^{2} \times \mathbb{Z} / 3$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{16} \times \mathbb{Z} / 4$ |
| 8 | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{290} \times(\mathbb{Z} / 4)^{30} \times(\mathbb{Z} / 8)^{6} \times \mathbb{Z} / 16 \times(\mathbb{Z} / 3)^{2} \times \mathbb{Z}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{122} \times(\mathbb{Z} / 4)^{4} \times \mathbb{Z} / 8 \times \mathbb{Z}$ |

For example, for $G=\mathfrak{S}_{4}$, the only conjugacy classes $[H, Y$ ] that contribute to $\mathcal{B C}_{2}(G)^{\text {nontriv }}$ are (the conjugacy classes of) the pairs:
(1) $\left(\mathfrak{C}_{3}, \mathfrak{C}_{3}\right)$, with $\mathfrak{C}_{3}=\langle(2,4,3)\rangle$,
(2) $\left(\mathfrak{K}_{4}, \mathfrak{K}_{4}\right)$, with $\mathfrak{K}_{4}=\langle(3,4),(1,2)(3,4)\rangle$,
(3) $\left(\mathfrak{C}_{4}, \mathfrak{C}_{4}\right)$, with $\mathfrak{C}_{4}=\langle(1,4,2,3)\rangle$.

We have

$$
\mathcal{B}_{2}([H, Y])=\mathbb{Z} / 2
$$

for the corresponding summands of $\mathcal{B C}_{2}^{\prime}(G)$.
6.4. Nonabelian subgroups of the plane Cremona group. Here, we compute $\mathcal{B C}_{n}(G)^{\text {nontriv }}$ for groups admitting primitive actions on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, namely:

$$
\mathfrak{A}_{5}, \mathrm{ASL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{3}\right), \mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{7}\right), \mathfrak{A}_{6}
$$

- $G=\mathfrak{A}_{5}=\langle(1,2,3),(3,4,5)\rangle \subset \mathfrak{S}_{5}:$ Nontrivial terms arise from
$-\left(\mathfrak{C}_{3}, \mathfrak{C}_{3}\right)$, with $\mathfrak{C}_{3}=\langle(1,2,5)\rangle$,
$-\left(\mathfrak{C}_{5}, \mathfrak{C}_{5}\right)$, with $\mathfrak{C}_{5}=\langle(1,4,5,3,2)\rangle$,
which contribute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\mathcal{B}_{2}\left(\left[\left(\mathfrak{C}_{3}, \mathfrak{C}_{3}\right)\right]\right)=\mathbb{Z} / 2, \\
& -\mathcal{B}_{2}\left(\left[\left(\mathfrak{C}_{5}, \mathfrak{C}_{5}\right)\right]\right)=(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have
$\mathcal{B C}_{2}(G)^{\text {nontriv }}=(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{3}, \quad$ and $\quad \mathcal{B C}_{n}(G)^{\text {nontriv }}=0, \quad n \geq 3$.

- $G=\mathfrak{C}_{3}^{2}: \mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{ASL}(2,3) \subset \mathfrak{S}_{9}$, generated by

$$
\langle(2,5,8)(3,9,6),(2,4,3,7)(5,6,9,8),(1,2,3)(4,5,6)(7,8,9)\rangle .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{B C}_{2}(G)^{\text {nontriv }}=(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{7} \times \mathbb{Z}^{13}, \quad \mathcal{B C}_{3}(G)^{\text {nontriv }}=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \times \mathbb{Z} \\
\\
\mathcal{B C}_{n}(G)^{\text {nontriv }}=0, \quad n \geq 4 .
\end{gathered}
$$

- $G=\operatorname{PSL}(2,7)=\langle(3,6,7)(4,5,8),(1,8,2)(4,5,6)\rangle \subset \mathfrak{S}_{8}:$ Nontrivial terms arise from
$-\left(\mathfrak{C}_{3}, \mathfrak{C}_{3}\right)$, with $\mathfrak{C}_{3}=\langle(2,6,5)(3,7,4)\rangle$,
$-\left(\mathfrak{C}_{7}, \mathfrak{C}_{7}\right)$, with $\mathfrak{C}_{7}=\langle(1,2,5,3,6,7,4)\rangle$,
$-\left(\mathfrak{C}_{4}, \mathfrak{C}_{4}\right)$, with $\mathfrak{C}_{4}=\langle(1,3,4,8)(2,7,6,5)\rangle$,
which contribute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\mathcal{B}_{2}\left(\left[\left(\mathfrak{C}_{3}, \mathfrak{C}_{3}\right)\right]\right)=\mathbb{Z} / 2, \\
& -\mathcal{B}_{2}\left(\left[\left(\mathfrak{C}_{7}, \mathfrak{C}_{7}\right)\right]\right)=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \times \mathbb{Z}, \\
& -\mathcal{B}_{2}\left(\left[\left(\mathfrak{C}_{4}, \mathfrak{C}_{4}\right)\right]\right)=\mathbb{Z} / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{B C}_{2}(G)^{\text {nontriv }}=(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{3} \times \mathbb{Z}, \quad \mathcal{B C}_{3}(G)^{\text {nontriv }}=\mathbb{Z} / 2, \\
\mathcal{B C}_{n}(G)^{\text {nontriv }}=0, \quad n \geq 4 .
\end{gathered}
$$

- $G=\mathfrak{A}_{6}=\langle(1,2)(3,4,5,6),(1,2,3)\rangle$ : We have
$\mathcal{B C}_{2}(G)^{\text {nontriv }}=(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{7} \times \mathbb{Z} / 4 \times \mathbb{Z}, \quad \mathcal{B C}_{3}(G)^{\text {nontriv }}=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \times \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\mathcal{B C}_{n}(G)^{\text {nontriv }}=0, \quad n \geq 4
$$

6.5. A geometric application. Consider

$$
G=\mathfrak{C}_{2} \times \mathfrak{S}_{3}=\mathfrak{D}_{6}=\langle(1,2,3,4,5,6),(1,6)(2,5)(3,4)\rangle \subset \mathfrak{S}_{6} .
$$

This group acts linearly on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and also admits an action on the del Pezzo surface $X$ of degree 6 .

Theorem 6.2. [6], [13, Section 9] The $G$-actions on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and $X$ are not equivariantly birational. The $G$-actions on $\mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$ and $X \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$, with trivial action on the second factor, are equivariantly birational.

The proof in [6] relies on tools of the equivariant Minimal Model Program for surfaces, in particular, on the classification of Sarkisov links. The proof of stable birationality relies on explicit manipulations of $G$-representations and applications of the No-Name-Lemma. The following challenge remains:
Question 6.3. [13, Remark 9.13] Are the $G$-actions on $\mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $X \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ birational?

In $\left[5\right.$, Section 7.6], we used the Burnside group $\operatorname{Burn}_{2}(G)$ to distinguish these actions. Here, we rework this example in the framework of combinatorial Burnside groups (see also [11, Section 6]).

We have

$$
\mathcal{B C}_{2}(G)^{\text {nontriv }}=(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{5} \times \mathbb{Z} / 4
$$

with decomposition

- $H_{1}=\mathfrak{C}_{3}=\langle(1,3,5)(2,4,6)\rangle$,
- $H_{2}=\mathfrak{C}_{2}^{2}=\langle(2,6)(3,5),(1,4)(2,5)(3,6)\rangle$,
- $H_{3}=\mathfrak{C}_{6}=\langle(1,2,3,4,5,6)\rangle$.

Nontrivial contributions to $\mathcal{B C}_{2}^{\prime}(G)$ arise from

- $\mathcal{B}_{2}\left(\left[\left(H_{1}, H_{1}\right)\right]\right)=\mathbb{Z} / 2$,
- $\mathcal{B}_{2}\left(\left[\left(H_{2}, H_{2}\right)\right]\right)=(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}$,
- $\mathcal{B}_{2}\left(\left[\left(H_{1}, H_{3}\right)\right]\right)=\mathbb{Z} / 2$,
- $\mathcal{B}_{2}\left(\left[\left(H_{3}, H_{3}\right)\right]\right)=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \times \mathbb{Z} / 4$.

By [11, Proposition 6.1], we have a formula for the difference

$$
[X \frown G]-\left[\mathbb{P}^{2} \frown G\right] \in \operatorname{Burn}_{2}(\mathrm{G})
$$

where $\mathbb{P}^{2}=\mathbb{P}\left(1 \oplus V_{\chi}\right)$, and $V_{\chi}$ is the standard 2-dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{S}_{3}$, twisted by the character of $\mathfrak{C}_{2}$. Applying the homomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Burn}_{2}(\mathrm{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B C} C_{2}(G),
$$

defined in [12, Proposition 8.2], we obtain the class
(diagonal in $\left.\mathfrak{C}_{2} \times \mathfrak{S}_{2}, \mathfrak{C}_{2} \times \mathfrak{S}_{2},(1)\right)$
$+\left(\mathfrak{C}_{2}, \mathfrak{C}_{2} \times \mathfrak{S}_{2},(1)\right)+\left(\mathfrak{C}_{3}, \mathfrak{C}_{3},(1,1)\right)$
$-\left(\mathfrak{C}_{2}, \mathfrak{C}_{2} \times \mathfrak{S}_{3},(1)\right)-\left(\mathfrak{C}_{2} \times \mathfrak{C}_{3}, \mathfrak{C}_{2} \times \mathfrak{C}_{3},((0,1),(1,2))\right) \in \mathcal{B C}_{2}(G)^{\text {nontriv }}$.
Its image under the map $\Psi$ equals

$$
\left(\mathfrak{C}_{3}, \mathfrak{C}_{3},(1,1)\right)-\left(\mathfrak{C}_{3}, \mathfrak{C}_{2} \times \mathfrak{C}_{3},(1,2)\right) \in \mathcal{B C}_{2}^{\prime}(G)
$$

a nontrivial 2-torsion class. The advantage of this approach is that it is computationally easier to analyze the class in $\mathcal{B C}_{2}^{\prime}(G)$ rather than $\mathcal{B C}_{2}(G)$, as the relations do not change stabilizers. Note also that
$\mathcal{B}_{2}(G)$ is only applicable when $G$ is abelian - in the case at hand, the restriction of the action to any abelian subgroup of $G$ is linearizable.

On the other hand, $\mathcal{B C}_{3}(G)^{\text {nontriv }}=0$; in particular, we cannot distinguish the classes of $X \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$, with trivial action on the $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-factor.
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